7/18/68

Dear Paul,

Your letter of 7/16 and mine of 17 crossed with interesting parallels. By now you know Gaudet was CIA.

On indexes-agreed. The only reason I was getting them is because no one else was. I began getting them when I could and have added since. I have asked for some in thepast and havn't gotten them, etc. Also, I have tried to have them because so many people wrote and asked me...I'll mail them insured when I get them. That should have been a long time ago and wasn't. For example, in 1347 yesterday there is a withdrawal slip a month old on something they haven't yet Xerixed and the room was without work when I was there.

Before you oder documents, why not see if I have them. My filing system, or lack of system, is such that I may not find or may not recall, but I have thousands and there is no need for you to pay 20¢ for what I have and can copy of 8 or 4, depending on which machine I use, when I can use mine. For example. page 152 of #5, which youxx sent me ( I return it for I have it). I've had that for 20 months at least, and that is a minor part of that story, all of which I have in the unpuboished book on the autopsy. I had this and a few of the related pages with me 12/66 when I was out there. I thought you had seen it. That is the begin ing of another total disqualification of the Report, for from it I built the size of the leg fragment. I now have pictures showing the fragment could not have come from 399. Please do not advertise this. And this, too, is only part of the story. John Nichols is, in addition, working on another part. I've got the story of how they tried to ignore this Reynolds report and why, how they asked for the X-rays again, pretending they didn't have them (and I've got the etters, too).

So, unless there is urgent rush, why not ask me if I have what you plan to write for. If I can Thermofax what you want, the cost is really almost nothing. Sometimes the copies are okay. In any event, if you want abetter copy I can always provide it for less than hald the Archives cost, and I can also improve some. No kidding. I have learned how to make the indistinct ones more legible with my 3M machine.

Paul, I am so far in hock that on things like this it is pointless for me to think in terms of small savings when the alternative seems to be the possibility of our missing something. I am delighted that you people are doing what you are on the indexes and will help in any way I can and am delighted to be able to forget about it. I think I've sent you copies of all I have. If I haven't remind me which ones and I will. I forget too much any more.

I did get the Milteer material. I am dismayed when I think that I turned that file over to Tom and year and a ahlf ago and he was and has been totally silent about it. If he found this material, no one knows it. I will send you copies of the other things I got there when I hear from you, as per my yesterday's letter. If you haven't ordered, don't until I send you what I get. I think it is everything of worth in the file and some that may be worthless. I will be adding this to COUP D'ETAT.

If you have the FPCC documents in your excellent list, let me know. Some of them are interesting. I have a number, if you should want them. I think you have some from the appendix to O in NO. I had some of the Bringuier ones I now cannot find. I think I sent them to the N.O. lawyer and he never got the, (We clobbered him in court again yesterday.)

If the working with the indexes is tedious, it can also be important.